

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/027,870	10/26/2001	Holger Warth	Mo-6717/LeA 34,668	Mo-6717/LeA 34,668 1030	
157 75	90 06/27/2006		EXAMINER		
BAYER MATERIAL SCIENCE LLC 100 BAYER ROAD			BUTTNER, DAVID J		
PITTSBURGH,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	1712	
		DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/027,870	WARTH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Buttner	1712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the pra	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	wn from consideration.				
	•					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	, ,		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/027,870

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1,2,4,5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the J11349796 Patent in view of Nodera '443 or Obayashi '914.

The JP11349796 reference exemplifies blends of PC, SAN and silicon/acrylate graft. Oral translation indicates paragraph 19 calls for inorganic filler (termed "bulking agent" by the machine translation), but glass fibers are not named.

Nodera (col. 10, line 44,45,63) lists glass fiber etc in amounts of 2-30 pph as suitable filler in similar PC/grafted rubber compositions. Obayashi exemplifies the use of glass fiber in similar PC/ grafted rubber compositions. Obayashi teaches glass fibers are recognized for increasing rigidity of the resin (col 1 line 30). It would have been obvious to use glass fibers as the filler in the J'796 compositions in the conventional amounts for the expected reinforcing effect.

Note that viscosity average molecular weights are nearly equal to weight average molecular weights. Therefore, the reference's viscosity average molecular weight of 20,000 would fall within applicant's weight average molecular weight range.

Takahashi 2003/0112520 (paragraph 102) is cited for his more detailed description of the SAN 290FF that is believed used in J'796.

Claims 1,2,4,5 and 7-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the JP08269314 Patent in view of Nodera '443 or Obayashi '914.

The JP08269314 reference exemplifies blends of PC, PMMA and Metablen S2001 (applicant's silicone graft). PMMA (polymethylmethacrylate) meets applicant's B) because methylmethacrylate is a derivative of an unsaturated acid (ie an ester of methacrylic acid). In the examples, the PC has an intrinsic viscosity of 0.5dl/g (or 0.05

l/g). This corresponds to a viscosity average molecular weight of 22,000 according to the known correlation. Reinforcing materials such as fibers can be included (paragraph 56) although glass fibers and amounts thereof amounts are not specified.

Nodera (col. 10, line 44,45,63) lists glass fiber etc in amounts of 2-30 pph as suitable filler in similar PC/grafted rubber compositions. Obayashi exemplifies the use of glass fiber in similar PC/ grafted rubber compositions. Obayashi teaches glass fibers are recognized for increasing rigidity of the resin (col 1 line 30).

It would have been obvious to use glass fibers as the reinforcing fiber in the J '314 composition in the conventional amounts for the expected reinforcing effect.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/27/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Arguments that J'796 does not distinguish between rubber-free and rubber copolymers are not relevant to the proposed rejection. This reference exemplifies compositions of applicant's A), B) and C). The only issue is whether or not glass fibers would be an obvious inclusion thereto.

Arguments that the J'796 "excellent fluidity" will be lost upon inclusion of glass fibers is not convincing.

There is nothing of record that would predict the resultant glass fiber reinforced composition of J'796 would be intractable or unprocessable. The inclusion of glass fibers would not have been expected to render the J'796 unsatisfactory for its intended purpose. It appears glass fibers perform their expected function of increasing reinforcement/stiffness at the expense of some decrease in fluidity. Nodera teaches the

Art Unit: 1712

inorganic filler such as glass fibers increase rigidity (col 10 line 45). The fact that the J'796 composition (prior to inclusion of glass fiber) was touted as having excellent flowability actually makes the proposed inclusion more feasible/obvious. The easily processable composition would be expected to tolerate some decrease in flow rate in order to increase stiffness etc. J'796 does not forbid the inclusion of additives that increase the viscosity to some extent. Furthermore, applicant allows for small amounts of fiber (0.4 parts). Such small amounts would have a correspondingly small effect on viscosity and would be considered to have "excellent flowability".

Applicant argues Obayashi includes "extra" ingredients.

The two secondary references are merely relied on to teach types and amounts of common fillers for polycarbonates. Arguing that secondary references are not anticipatory is never convincing to withdraw an obviousness rejection based on a combination of references (MPEP 2145 IV.).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1712

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 571-272-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID J. BUTTNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
DOUGL BUTTNER

D. Buttner 6/21/06